		1
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT.	
2	EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
3	X	
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, :	
5	10-CR-687	
6	-against- United States Courthouse	
7	: Brooklyn, New York	
8	MARK THOMPSON,	
9	Defendant. : January 13, 2011 10:30 o'clock a.m.	
10	X	
11	TRANSCRIPT OF SENTENCING BEFORE THE HONORABLE NICHOLAS G. GARAUFIS	
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
13	ATTORNEYS FOR GOVERNMENT: LORETTA E. LYNCH	
14	UNITED STATES ATTORNEY BY: AMIR TOOSSI	
15	Assistant United States Attorney 271 Cadman Plaza East	
16	Brooklyn, New York 11201	
17	ATTORNEY FOR DEFENDANT: ERIC CREIZMAN, ESQ.	
18		
19		
20	Court Reporter: Marsha Diamond	
21	225 Cadman Plaza East	
22	Brooklyn, New York TEL: (718) 613-2489 FAX: (718) 613-2369	
23	Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography,	
24	transcript produced by CAT.	
25		

1 THE CLERK: United States versus Mark Thompson. Docket No. 10-CR-687. 2 3 Counsel, please state your appearances. 4 MR. TOOSSI: Amir Toossi, for the government. Good morning, Your Honor. 5 MR. CREIZMAN: Good morning, Your Honor. 6 7 Eric Creizman, appointed counsel for Mr. Thompson, from Gibson, Dunn, and with me at counsel table is Kimberly Youhas 8 9 who has passed the bar but not admitted. 10 THE COURT: Come on up and join us. Congratulations. 11 All right. 12 MR. TOOSSI: Your Honor, this case is on for 13 sentencing and so I know it's unusual for the case to appear for the first time before you for sentencing but I understand 14 15 that you spoke with Judge Amon about bringing this case for 16 sentencing here. 17 Yes, I agreed to sentence. THE COURT: 18 MR. TOOSSI: Right. So I could go through just 19 procedural history for this case and if Your Honor would like 20 21 THE COURT: That's fine. Why don't we do it. 22 MR. TOOSSI: Okay. Your Honor, this case is an 23 escape case. This defendant appeared before you on a separate 24 case and was sentenced in 2005 -- hold on one second. Let me 25 make sure that is correct.

This is the case where I reduced the 1 THE COURT: 2 period of incarceration? 3 MR. TOOSSI: That's correct. 4 THE COURT: To 78 months. MR. TOOSSI: From 97 months. 5 THE COURT: From 97 months. 6 7 MR. TOOSSI: That's correct. 8 In April of 2009 the defendant was transferred to 9 the Brooklyn Residential Reentry Center, and was supposed to 10 stay in the residential reentry sentence center until 11 October 24, 2009. However, on June 7th, 2009 he signed out on 12 a religious pass and did not return. The U.S. Attorney's 13 Office sought and obtained a warrant for the defendant's arrest and he remained at large until November 18th when he 14 15 was arrested by the NYPD for reckless endangerment in the 16 first degree. 17 The facts of those cases are recounted in the PSR in 18 paragraph 41 and I think it is worth noting that the defendant 19 was not actually arrested on the day of his conduct, he was 20 arrested some time thereafter -- about a month and a half 21 later, but in short, he thought the police were going to 22 arrest him so he stole somebody's car and led them on a high 23 speed chase on the Staten Island Expressway, during which time 24 he was travelling in the wrong direction.

Now, these are all state charges and when the

25

defendant was arrested by the State he was not immediately transferred to federal custody. Instead, he came to an agreement with the Staten Island District Attorney's Office and was not sentenced until August -- I'm sorry -- November of 2010. Between November '09 and August -- and I know the timeline gets a little screwy --

THE COURT: That's all right. Go ahead.

MR. TOOSSI: But he, between November 2009 and August 2010, the defendant was incarcerated at Rikers Island in state custody. In August of 2010 he was writted over to federal custody but he was not sentenced on the state case until November, so about three months after he was writted over to federal custody.

Now, when he was sentenced in the state he was sentenced to 90 days, and obviously, that his time in state custody exceeded the sentence. So the issues before the Court are these, and defense counsel will clarify if I get them incorrect: From November of 2009 until February of 2010 the defendant is entitled to credit to time spent in the state and -- I'm sorry, let me back up. He would not be entitled to any credit towards his federal sentence, according to the B.O.P., because he was in state custody serving a state sentence. However, after those 90 days ran, between what I calculate as February 16th of 2009 to --

THE COURT: No, no, 2010.

MR. TOOSSI: 2010. Thank you, Your Honor. 2010. 1 2 February 16, 2010 until August 26th, 2010 he could receive 3 credit for that time spent in custody towards --4 THE COURT: That's about six months. MR. TOOSSI: Six months. 5 6 THE COURT: So where was he during this period he was 7 in state custody? 8 MR. TOOSSI: He was in city custody. 9 THE COURT: But he had already, in effect, fulfilled 10 his obligation on a state sentence of 90 days; is that going to be your position? 11 12 MR. CREIZMAN: That -- yes, yes, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Okay. 14 MR. TOOSSI: So they're two different issues in terms of federal sentence now. There is the issue of the 15 sentence that you gave the defendant in 2005, which would be 16 the difference -- there's a lot of math here -- the difference 17 18 between October 24, 2009 and June 7th, 2009. That being the 19 time that he was supposed to --20 THE COURT: I'm sorry. From October. 21 I'm sorry. MR. TOOSSI: I did the second date 22 first. Between June 7, 2009 and October 24, 2009, which was 23 the time that he was supposed to be in the Brooklyn 24 Residential Re-Entry Center. 25 THE COURT: From June until?

6 MR. TOOSSI: October. 1 2 He had served some time in the MR. CREIZMAN: 3 residential center, I believe, before his release -- his 4 escape. Sorry. 5 THE COURT: What was the day he was supposed to get out of the residential center? 6 7 MR. TOOSSI: October 24th and he escaped on June 7th. So those are the two dates. 8 9 THE COURT: When did he arrive there? 10 MR. TOOSSI: April 28th. Now, he also will lose good time credit. 11 12 amount -- that four months is -- that's what the B.O.P. has 13 The time remaining on his sentence has not yet informed me. 14 been calculated by BOP. It will be, at least, four months and then there will be some good time credit lost. So their back 15 of the envelope, and just for Your Honor's information in 16 17 trying to devise a sentence on this case, their back of the 18 envelope math indicates that he will probably serve seven more 19 months on this sentence. 20 MR. CREIZMAN: Your Honor, just to interject, we have looked up the statutes, we have contacted the Bureau of 21 22 Prisons General Counsel's Office. It seems to me, and based on 23 what we've read, there's no guarantee that he will lose his 24 good time credit. There's no -- you know, it has yet to be

calculated, and I'm not sure what the source of Mr. Toossi's

25

7 calculations are. 1 2 MR. TOOSSI: Well. the fact --3 THE COURT: Just hold on here. He's still serving a 4 sentence, correct, on the 2005 conviction? MR. TOOSSI: He owes time. 5 6 THE COURT: He owes time. Right. So how long has 7 he been in federal custody since the escape? 8 MR. TOOSSI: August 26th until today. So a little 9 under five months. A little under five months. 10 MR. CREIZMAN: Isn't it six months? 11 MR. TOOSSI: No. September, October, November, 12 December. 13 THE COURT: Well, wouldn't it be useful for us to get this information from the Bureau of Prisons before I sentence 14 15 him? MR. TOOSSI: My understanding is that they will --16 17 my understanding from them is that they -- the Bureau of 18 Prisons itself does not, like the Northeast Quadrant, does not 19 make that determination. He has to go to Texas before --20 THE COURT: You mean his papers? MR. TOOSSI: His papers have to go to Texas before 21 22 they'll make that calculation. Mr. Creizman is correct that it 23 is not an absolute certainty that he would lose his good time 24 credit but --25 THE COURT: Well, I'd take it away from him in an

8 1 instant. 2 MR. TOOSSI: I think that is a safe assumption, 3 Judge. 4 THE COURT: The problem is that, you know, here's someone who asked for and received a truncated sentence, he 5 was put into a halfway house, and then he decided it wasn't 6 7 good enough to get time off his sentence, it wasn't good enough to go to a halfway house, he had to escape. So, you 8 9 know, there's just so much you can do to help people. So what 10 do you propose? What is this all about? 11 MR. TOOSSI: Is that a 12 THE COURT: That's to you. 13 MR. TOOSSI: That's to me. 14 THE COURT: I have to do a sentencing here but on an Why don't I just sentence him on the escape and let 15 16 the Bureau of Prisons work out the details. 17 MR. TOOSSI: That would be my position. 18 THE COURT: I'm not the Bureau of Prisons and they 19 don't take away his good time, well, that's their decision. 20 I'm not the Bureau of Prisons, I've got an opinion, as you 21 just heard, but I'm not the Bureau of Prisons and I'm not 22 going to tell them what to do. They wouldn't listen anyway. 23 MR. TOOSSI: The one thing -- the reason why 24 Judge Amon wanted the case to be before Your Honor was only 25 this, was that when it does go to Texas the Bureau of Prisons

will send Your Honor a letter, I believe, called a Barton 1 2 letter where they will ask whether they can credit the time 3 that he owes on your sentence from 2005 to the time that he 4 spent in state custody. THE COURT: So when they send me the letter I'll 5 decide. 6 7 MR. TOOSSI: But that was why Judge Amon --THE COURT: I appreciate that. I spoke to her about 8 9 it. I'm happy to sentence the defendant on the escape. I'm 10 disappointed, obviously, with the defendant, that the defendant screwed it up -- to use the vernacular, yes. 11 12 MR. CREIZMAN: May I be heard? 13 THE COURT: Of course. 14 MR. CREIZMAN: Thank you. When we -- before we had our hearing before 15 16 Judge Amon, under the plea agreement the government and the 17 defense both agreed that the appropriate guidelines range was 18 eight to 14 months. The issue was going in whether both us, I 19 think, were mistaken about whether the Bureau of Prisons would 20 credit the state time towards his --21 THE COURT: All the state time? 22 MR. CREIZMAN: Well, some of the state time, the 23 state time over and above the 90 days towards his sentence. 24 THE COURT: The six months you mean? 25 MR. CREIZMAN: There was -- yes, the six months,

yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: From 2/16/10 to 8/26.

MR. CREIZMAN: Correct. I moved for a below guideline sentence. Now, that it's been established that the six months can count towards his sentence in this case, and he's served almost another five months, we are within the guideline range, and the government under the plea agreement is not able to argue where in the guidelines range it would fall, and I want to also say what Mr. Thompson has done since that incredibly terrible misjudgment -- terrible judgment that he exercised in escaping, when he was arrested by this state -- by the New York City Police Department, he started to provide information to the Staten Island Direct Attorneys Office. Based on the information he provided they reduced his -- basically they gave him a deal which would allow him to serve a year in jail. He further, at great risk to himself, went into prison and wore a wire and tried to elicit incriminating information from a very --

THE COURT: Don't give me details in open court.

MR. CREIZMAN: Okay.

THE COURT: He cooperated.

MR. CREIZMAN: In addition to that, he has hope, and he has the will now. He spent most of his life in prison -- most of his adult life in prison, and you know, we received a letter from the mother of his children who said -- who works

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

11

at a job placement facility, she's a supervisor, and she has promised to help him get appropriate employment, and Mr. Thompson's dedicated to that, and I think he's demonstrated over this past year that he is a changed person, that he's tried to make up for what he did. The state charge was not -- you know, what he did in escaping and also being arrested for the state crime, those were bad -- those were bad There's no question about it, but the state interests -- the state believed that its interests were satisfied by the 90 day sentence based on what Mr. Thompson did. So my point is that -- and in addition, there was a certificate of disposition that recommended that the state sentence be served concurrently with the federal sentence. That's not, obviously, binding on this Court, but if you were to add he's actually served eleven months, if you add three, we are at the top of the guidelines range. You know, we could add time for his previous sentence, but I'm not sure that a couple of months or maybe, you know, four months, whether it's six months is going -- is necessary at this point. I think Mr. Thompson based upon the 3553 (a) factor that I analyzed in my brief, I think he should be released and released today. I think he's ready to be released today, and with proper probation, and supervision, even electronic monitoring, I think he would do well.

They are elderly. They need help getting to surgery and they both have surgeries coming up. He could be a great help to them, and I think, you know, he is inclined to do that. He wants to do that, and probation is not a light sentence at this point. Probation can be very difficult and he could have a difficult home detention sentence. So we are asking that Mr. Thompson be released today.

THE COURT: Looking back at 2005 -- he's on five year supervised release assuming, you know, he finishes his sentence on that crime, right?

MR. CREIZMAN: Yes.

THE COURT: So he's facing five years. If I release him today he's still facing five years of supervised release on the original charges of 2005, so he's not getting sprung to freedom without limitations no matter what I do; isn't that right?

MR. CREIZMAN: That is correct. That is correct.

THE COURT: I'm just pointing that out for everyone to hear. Whatever I do about his incarceration on the original indictment he still has five years of supervised release to go and you know, the tea leaves do not present a very favorable prognosis.

MR. CREIZMAN: All I can say, Your Honor --

THE COURT: Well, do you want me to sentence him

25 | **today?** 

13 MR. TOOSSI: 1 Yes. 2 MR. CREIZMAN: Yes. 3 THE COURT: Why don't we start the sentencing then 4 because we haven't even gotten to that. Mr. Thompson, are you 5 satisfied with the assistance that your attorney has given you thus far in this matter? 6 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Do you understand that this is a 8 9 sentencing proceeding in connection with your plea of guilty 10 before Judge Ramon Reyes to superseding count indictment on 11 November 4, 2010 charging you with escape from the custody of 12 the Attorney General; do you understand that? 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 14 THE COURT: Has the plea been accepted by Judge Amon or should I do that here? 15 MR. CREIZMAN: The plea was accepted by Judge Amon 16 17 in the last hearing. 18 MR. TOOSSI: I don't have a specific recollection of 19 that. My preference would be if Your Honor would. 20 THE COURT: I will accept it. Do you have any 21 objection to my accepting the plea a second time? 22 MR. CREIZMAN: No objection. 23 THE COURT: And obviously, the government does not 24 and I accept the defendant's plea of guilty before Judge Reyes 25 on November 4, 2010, having previously read the transcript of

14 1 that proceeding. Okay. 2 MR. TOOSSI: Thank you. 3 THE COURT: Now, let's go over the guideline 4 calculation. Let's go over what's in the file. 5 I've reviewed the presentence investigation report dated December 2nd, 2010. 6 7 Mr. Creizman, have you discussed that with your client? 8 9 MR. CREIZMAN: Yes, I have, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Has he reviewed it? 11 MR. CREIZMAN: Yes, he has, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Mr. Thompson, has your attorney answered 13 any and all questions that you had about the presentence 14 report? MR. CREIZMAN: Yes. 15 THE COURT: I'm sorry? 16 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. 19 MR. TOOSSI: Your Honor, I notice that you are 20 holding up the original presentence report. Do you have a 21 copy of the revised presentence report? I am actually not --22 MR. CREIZMAN: Your Honor, from my understanding, 23 just to let you know, that the presentence report was revised 24 for the purposes of taking off Judge Mauskopf and replacing 25 the Judge with Judge Amon. I have an e-mail to that effect

```
15
    from the probation officer.
1
               THE COURT: That's it?
 2
 3
               MR. CREIZMAN: I think that is completely it.
 4
               THE COURT: Did you share that information with your
    client?
 5
              MR. CREIZMAN:
                              I have.
 6
 7
               THE COURT: Do you have any belief there's anything
    else that has been changed?
8
9
               MR. TOOSSI:
                             No, Your Honor (perusing).
10
               THE COURT: All right. There's a letter dated
    December 20th from defense counsel. Have you seen that,
11
12
    Mr. Toossi?
13
              MR. TOOSSI:
                             Yes.
               THE COURT: There's a previous letter from the
14
    government -- previous letter -- December 14th to which the
15
    defense has replied -- replying to the defense; do you have
16
17
    that?
18
              MR. TOOSSI:
                             Yes.
               THE COURT: There's a letter submitted under seal on
19
20
    December 10th by the defense, have you seen that?
                             Yes, Your Honor.
21
               MR. TOOSSI:
22
               THE COURT: I also have a letter from Mrs. Ranice
23
    (ph) Rivera that I have read.
24
               MR. CREIZMAN: Correct.
25
               THE COURT: All right. Am I missing anything?
```

1 MR. CREIZMAN: No, no, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Under the computation of the guideline 3 the total offense level is a seven, criminal history 4 category is four, range of incarceration is eight to fourteen months in the custody of the Attorney General; does everyone 5 agree with the guidelines calculation? 6 7 MR. TOOSSI: Your Honor. MR. CREIZMAN: Yes, Your Honor. 8 9 THE COURT: The Court agrees as well. 10 Is there anything else in the presentence report that is in error that you want to -- any issues you want to 11 12 raise with the Court? 13 MR. CREIZMAN: No, Your Honor. As far as I know, 14 there are no errors and to the extent there are, they wouldn't interfere with the guidelines. They wouldn't impact that. 15 THE COURT: All right. At this point I would like to 16 hear from defense counsel as to where I should sentence the 17 18 defendant, taking into account the factors under section 19 3553(a) of Title 18 of the United States Code in order to 20 impose a sentence that is sufficient but not greater than 21 necessary to fulfill the purposes of sentencing. 22 MR. CREIZMAN: Thank you, Your Honor. I already, 23 like, delivered some or probably most of my --24 THE COURT: You don't have to repeat yourself. 25 MR. CREIZMAN: And I'm not going to but what I do

want to say is that, in fact, Mr. Thompson has served close to 14 months in prison. Three of those months were for state offense, but the Court could consider it to run concurrently. I feel that that is the high end of the guideline.

Mr. Thompson might have extra time on his previous sentence, but I think that additional prison time is not going to help Mr. Thompson or society. I think that giving Mr. Thompson a chance, as he has promised to deliver, you know, to be a lawful member of society, to contribute to his parents' support and care, for those reasons I am -- we are asking for time served and a period of supervised release with conditions, and as strict conditions as the Court would deem proper, including home detention with electronic monitoring for a period of time, and Mr. Thompson could get -- will basically be able to acclimate to society while under supervised release and while under the supervision of probation and that's my argument, Your Honor.

MR. TOOSSI: Your Honor, I want to address the cooperation issue first, and I've indicated this in the letter, but I'm not sure it really comes across. What the defendant is asking the Court to do is to downwardly departure or to vary the sentence below the guidelines in part because of his cooperation on two grounds. One that he cooperated at all and then, two, that it indicates something about his dedication to being a lawful member of society. The thing that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

needs to be considered is that when the defendant was arrested for the charges in the state he was looking at a mandatory minimum of four years if he was convicted -- excuse me -- if he was convicted to the D felony. Convicted to the E felony he would be looking at a mandatory minimum of three years. He did wear a wire. I won't get into the details of the cooperation, but he did cooperate in the Staten Island D.A.'s Office and did decide that that was worth a 90 day sentence. Had he been convicted of a felony he would be looking at an enhancement in this case of four points. That would be under 2P1.1B3, and his guidelines range would go to 18 to 24 months. So I'm not suggesting that that should be the guideline range, but the point being that he has already -- not only did he receive a sentence that was well below the mandatory minimum that he was facing in the state, but he also has gained a benefit in this case because he didn't receive a felony conviction, and that reduced his guideline range by almost a year already. And then the second point is that I think that the circumstances of his cooperation indicate that this isn't a desire to turn over a new leaf, this was in reaction to a lengthy prison term and knowing that he was already on an escape status on another case, and that he already had time owed on another case. He spent the greater portion of his 20s incarcerated and there hasn't been much of an impact to show that his behavior is going to conform, unless he's put under

19 1 pressure by law enforcement. 2 So this is -- obviously, under the plea agreement I 3 can't argue where it should fall in the guidelines and I am 4 not making an argument about where it should fall in the guidelines. 5 Well, the six months from 2/16/10 to 6 THE COURT: 7 8/26/10, that would be a deduction on any federal sentence? 8 MR. TOOSSI: He would -- based on 3585 he would get 9 credit for that time. 10 THE COURT: So I don't have to do that. That can be 11 done by the Bureau of Prisons. 12 MR. TOOSSI: Actually, Your Honor can't do that. 13 That would be done by the Bureau of Prisons. 14 THE COURT: All right. That calculation is done by them. 15 MR. TOOSSI: 16 Obviously, Your Honor is the second sentencing judge and 17 there's nothing in the plea agreement that states that the 18 defendant would get a concurrent sentence and any 19 recommendation from the state as to a concurrent sense is not 20 binding on this Court in terms of his family. 21 Concurrent as to the first three months? THE COURT: 22 MR. TOOSSI: The first three months, correct. 23 THE COURT: How long has he been in federal custody? 24 MR. TOOSSI: Since August. So a little under five

25

months.

THE COURT: Five and six is eleven. So even if I give him the maximum he would only serve another three months.

MR. TOOSSI: In addition to -- well, that also brings up the second -- another issue which is whether this term of incarceration will be concurrent or consecutive to the original sentence and I would argue, Your Honor, that actually you have to -- under the statute you'd have to sentence him to a period of incarceration consecutive to the original sentence, so it really -- I mean --

THE COURT: What about the supervised release?

MR. TOOSSI: The supervised release could be run consecutive or concurrent.

So in my view, Your Honor, what we are really looking at is a period of time in state custody of about six months which looks like it's going to be the amount of time that he owes left on his 2005 sentence, and then my argument would be that he is supposed to serve whatever sentence he gets on that after August.

MR. CREIZMAN: Your Honor, as an initial matter, it seems to me that six months in Rikers Island would be credited towards a sentence here and the five months that he spent in the MDC would be credited towards the sentence here. That's eleven months. That's within the guidelines range. I am not asking for a downward departure. What I am saying about his cooperation is that I think his cooperation suggests that

Mr. Thompson -- that the 14 months that Mr. Thompson has spent in Rikers Island and in the MDC, both places considerably harsher than a prison where he would actually spend; you know, not a holding facility.

THE COURT: You know, I wasn't obligated to lower his sentence. There's nothing that said I had to give him a lower sentence when he came back for resentencing. I could have just left it the same. It was a gift.

MR. CREIZMAN: I understand, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I'm not at all convinced that, you know, he cooperated with the state because he had turned over a new leaf. He cooperated in the state because he is facing four years mandatory minimum in jail. That's why he cooperated.

MR. CREIZMAN: Well --

THE COURT: That was a smart thing to do, but don't say somehow I should take that into account when he basically just gave the back of his hand to the federal court. What do you expect out of the Court? To say thank you, you may go on your way?

MR. CREIZMAN: Your Honor, I don't -- I am not trying to minimize.

THE COURT: Well, don't because it's pretty darned unacceptable. You can finish your statement. I'll listen.

MR. CREIZMAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

I don't believe he was facing a mandatory minimum in

state court of four years. I just wanted to point that out.

I think that is incorrect.

THE COURT: All right.

MR. CREIZMAN: In addition, I also don't believe that any statute requires you, Your Honor, to sentence the undischarged portion of his sentence and this sentence consecutively. I don't think there's any requirement. It is not mandatory, you know. So in this case, I believe, Mr. Thompson, that 3553 (a) factors can be satisfied. Given where he's spent in Rikers and MDC for 14 months, I think that supervised release will give him a chance to change, to turn his life around. I don't believe that six extra months in jail or four extra months in jail or three extra months in jail will do anything for Mr. Thompson; whereas, if Mr. Thompson is released he would be of assistance to his family and he might get -- he's going to try to get a job, and that could be a requirement of supervised release.

THE COURT: Well, you're right. An extra three months or four months or six months isn't going to do anything to improve Mr. Thompson. It's called punishment. That's what it is. I'm under no illusion that if I give the defendant more time in jail that it is going to have some salutary affect on him. After all this, he is in criminal history category four. He escaped after getting a reduced sentence from this Court, he then had a state proceeding. What else?

MR. TOOSSI: Your Honor, I just want to direct your attention to paragraph 90 of the PSR. The last sentence. Per guideline 5G, as in George, 1.3 C, as in Charlie, the sentence for the instant offense shall be imposed to run consecutively to the prior undischarged term of imprisonment for the 2005 sentence.

MR. CREIZMAN: My understanding is that the guidelines are not mandatory.

THE COURT: You're correct.

All right. Let me hear from you, sir. You've been standing there quietly listening to all of us. What would you like to say before I sentence you. Mr. Thompson?

THE DEFENDANT: I know for one what I did was wrong.

THE COURT: What did you do that was wrong?

THE DEFENDANT: Leaving the halfway house. That was wrong for me to do, that I apologize to the courts, to the Marshal Service, most of all my family for putting them through all of this.

THE COURT: Yes.

THE DEFENDANT: I have been locked up my whole damn life. I keep doing time. It's not helping me. Starting to bother me mentally, every other way that is possible, you know. I just like a chance to be able to take care of my mom and my dad and my children, and I can get employment as soon as I'm released from here.

2.4 THE COURT: You can? 1 2 THE DEFENDANT: I know that for a fact. 3 THE COURT: What kind of employment? 4 THE DEFENDANT: Well, working construction or maybe I have a guarantee. 5 sanitation. Private sanitation? 6 THE COURT: 7 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. I just, you know, I have been -- feel like I've been locked up forever and just 8 9 10 THE COURT: Well, I understand that, but a lot of 11 this is your responsibility. You did these things. You admit 12 you did them. Frankly, I don't want to see you again. 13 you to go out and have a constructive worthwhile life. There's 14 nothing about you that makes it impossible for you to have a good life. The only thing standing in the way is you. That's 15 what stands in the way. I don't understand why you did the 16 17 things you did, but whatever you do you are going to be under 18 the Court's supervision for the next five years. So if you 19 mess up you are coming back and you go back to jail possibly. 20 I don't want to see that. That's not in your interest, that's 21 not in the Court's interest, that's not in your family's 22 interest, but I am skeptical. That is why I agreed to conduct 23 the sentencing. Judge Amon indicated that she would be willing 24 to have me conduct it because I wanted to tell you that you

don't get it. I'd like you to get it, take care of your

25

parents, take care of your family, your own children.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: No one wants to see you spend more time in jail but it's up to you. I assure you, you come back here I'll put you in jail if it's appropriate to do so. Okay.

Are you ready to be sentenced?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: All right. I sentence you to 11 months in the custody of the Attorney General to run consecutive to any undischarged term of imprisonment, three years of supervised release, to run consecutive to any other period of supervised release that has been imposed in the prior case. You shall participate in outpatient and/or inpatient treatment or detoxification program approved by the probation department. You are to contribute to the costs of such treatment/detoxification, not to exceed an amount determined reasonable by the Probation Department's Sliding Scale for Substance Abuse Treatment Services, and you shall cooperate in securing any applicable third party payment, such as insurance of Medicaid. You are to disclose all financial information and documents to the Probation Department to assess your ability to pay. You shall not consume any alcohol or other intoxicants during and after treatment unless granted a prescription by a licensed physician, and proof of same is provided to the probation department. You shall submit to

testing during and after treatment to ensure abstinence from drugs and alcohol.

You are to maintain full-time verifiable employment, and/or participate in an educational vocational training program as directed by the Probation Department.

You shall not processes a firearm, ammunition or destructive device.

There's a \$100 special assessment, which is mandatory.

You have the right appeal your sentence if you think the Court has not properly followed the law in sentencing you. Your time to appeal is extremely limited and you should discuss with your attorney at once whether an appeal would be worthwhile. I note that you agree not to appeal or otherwise challenged the sentence if this Court's sentence is 14 months or below. That's set forth in your plea agreement.

Now, let me just say this. Is there anything else? There's no open count?

MR. TOOSSI: No. It is a one count indictment.

THE COURT: Let me just say this on the supervised release. If you turn your life around and probation comes in here in three years and says to me that Mr. Thompson, he has made tremendous progress, I'll consider reducing your period of supervised release. I have no desire to have you continue that for forever. So that is up to you.

In addition, I want to see Mr. Thompson one year from the beginning of supervised release. I want a report from the Probation Department after one year, so that I know that Mr. Thompson has fulfilled his commitments to his family and to himself and I'm going to leave it to the Bureau of Prisons to figure out the rest.

Anything else?

MR. TOOSSI: No, Your Honor.

MR. CREIZMAN: One moment, Your Honor.

(Defendant and counsel conferred)

Your Honor, my client wishes to serve the rest of whatever sentence is determined at the MDC and I would think that he would but he's worried about his safety.

THE COURT: I recommend that whatever is left on the defendant's prison sentence be served in the MDC. I don't think there's that much left.

MR. TOOSSI: I anticipate he will probably be released in July.

THE COURT: In July?

MR. TOOSSI: That's my calculation.

THE COURT: All right. I don't want him released in July. I thought it was another couple of months. He should get credit. He needs to get credit. He should get credit for the six months past the 90 days, right, and then for the five months --

MR. TOOSSI: Right. What I have --

THE COURT: Five and six is eleven. I thought that would basically do it. Oh, you're saying he might end up being charged for good time that he was given previously?

MR. TOOSSI: Yes, I'm anticipating an extra two months, but I mean I could be wrong about that, but just to let Your Honor know this is my back of the envelope calculation. My calculation is that he'll not get credit for his state time up until February, which is the 90 day sentence. Then he'll get -- if you figure that he'll get six months plus the eleven months that --

THE COURT: Plus the five months -- plus the eleven months.

MR. TOOSSI: The eleven months that you just sentenced him to, plus the undischarged time on his 2005 sentence. So I'm saying six months. It is four plus the two. I am anticipating two months of loss of good time. So it will come out to seventeen months, and actually will be in June of this year that he would be released. That's what I am anticipating the BOP is going to do.

THE COURT: It is too complicated. I'm going to change. I'm going to sentence him concurrently and not consecutively to the eleven months, all right, then you figure it out.

MR. TOOSSI: All right.

THE COURT: I stopped figuring out good time and other issues when I left the State Attorney General's Office. I didn't understand it then, I don't understand it now. That's for someone else to figure out, but here's the point. I'm going to do this because I want you to have a chance, but I want you to take advantage of it and not blow it the way you did the last time I gave you a chance. Understand?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: The sentence that I have imposed is sufficient but not greater than necessary to fulfill the purposes of sentencing as I am required under 18 United States Code section 3553 (a). Have I missed anything?

MR. TOOSSI: No, Your Honor.

MR. CREIZMAN: I have to admit that I'm just somewhat confused.

THE COURT: Oh, yes?

MR. CREIZMAN: After the calculation --

THE COURT: The calculation is that the sentence that I impose is to run concurrently with any other federal sentence. The undischarged part of the original seventy-eight months will be calculated along with this. So the time that the defendant has spent in federal prison beginning on 2/16/10 will be taken into account; isn't that right? That's my understanding, but the rules of the Bureau of Prisons as to how they do the computation, you will discuss with them.

		30
1	MR. CREIZMAN: Okay. Understood.	
2	THE COURT: Anything more?	
3	MR. CREIZMAN: No.	
4	THE COURT: Should I order lunch?	
5	MR. CREIZMAN: No, Your Honor.	
6	THE COURT: I'm serious.	
7	MR. T00SSI: I don't think there's another issue.	
8	MR. CREIZMAN: No.	
9	THE COURT: Let's hope that it all works out.	
10	MR. CREIZMAN: Thank you.	
11	THE COURT: Have a nice day.	
12	Thank you, deputies.	
13	(Proceedings adjourned as above set forth)	
14	* * * * * * *	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		